This vigilance plan has been published in the 2021 Universal Registration Document of L’Oréal filed with the AMF (Autorité des Marchés Financiers, French financial market regulator) on March 17, 2022.
Chapter 4 “L’Oréal’s Social, Environmental and Societal Responsibility”. Suppliers voluntarily conduct additional actions on these issues and L’Oréal encourages them to do so.

A continuous improvement process

As part of a continuous improvement process, this Plan is regularly reviewed by a committee composed of representatives of the Ethics, Risk and Compliance Department, the Operations Division (EHS, Purchasing), the Human Resources Department, the Corporate Social Responsibility Department and the Legal Department. It meets three times a year. Each of these representatives leads a local network (Ethics Correspondents, Environmental, Health and Safety, Purchasing, and Human Resource teams, managers from Internal Control, Sustainability Leaders) around the world, which also means that feedback from the field can be considered in improving the Plan.

The Plan was presented to internal stakeholders (such as the employee representatives in the context of the European Works Council, “EWC”, of the Group) and qualified outside stakeholders. Its updated contents are presented every year to the Audit Committee and to the Board of Directors.

General framework of risk analysis

The risks of serious impacts on Human Rights, the environment, health and safety, have been analysed on the basis of the business of L’Oréal, its Subsidiaries and its Suppliers. The risk analysis was used, first, in the definition of the applicable Rules and, second, to the measures for effective application and monitoring of these Rules.

L’Oréal’s activity consists of production of cosmetic products and distribution of these products to the Group’s clients.

A/ Manufacturing of finished products

L’Oréal manufactures the vast majority of the finished products that it sells in its own factories, with a presence in the major growth markets through its network of 39 factories equipped with the very latest technologies and advances in automation. This network of plants adapts constantly with agility to incorporate acquisitions and embrace external innovations. This network is completed by production subcontracting, to meet temporary demand peaks in the case of specific technologies (make-up pencils, soaps, etc.). L’Oréal’s contracts prohibit cascade subcontracting. If, due to manufacturing constraints, some subcontractors must subcontract a portion of the production intended for L’Oréal, they must obtain authorisation from L’Oréal. When, in certain cases, the subcontractor is authorised to subcontract a portion of its production, the same rules as those defined in this Plan are applied to the subcontractors of the subcontractors, and the same types of controls and sanctions in the event of non-compliance are in place.

In order to execute their production, L’Oréal’s factories purchase different raw materials, filling and packing components and equipment from third-party suppliers. These suppliers have specific expertise, develop their products and have the infrastructures necessary for their production. They act with complete independence from L’Oréal, without depending on L’Oréal’s instructions or expertise.
The manufacture of filling and packing components is not part of L’Oréal’s activity\(^{(1)}\). The filling and packing components used are purchased from companies specialising in this area. The same is true for the production equipment.

**B/ Product distribution**

Products are distributed by the Subsidiaries and independent third-party distributors in the countries or regions where the Subsidiaries are not present.

In order to ensure this distribution, warehouses are operated by the Subsidiaries directly, or these services are entrusted to third-party logistics service providers that render services on the same type of activity: storage and preparation of orders in warehouses that they lease or own.

**Applicable rules resulting from the risk analysis**

The Subsidiaries and Suppliers must comply with the applicable local legislation and the minimum common core of the rules listed below (the “Applicable Rules”) in order to prevent the risk of serious adverse impacts on Human Rights and fundamental freedoms, health and safety of people and the environment. When local laws and/or the internal rules of Subsidiaries and Suppliers provide more stringent standards than the Applicable Rules, such standards must take precedence. If, however, the Applicable Rules provide for stricter standards, the Applicable Rules take precedence unless they result in an unlawful activity. In the event of contradictions between local laws and internationally recognised Human Rights, L’Oréal works to comply with these international standards.

**Risks and Applicable Rules for the prevention of serious violations of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms**

**Risk Analysis and risks identified relating to Human Rights and fundamental freedoms**

Following an initial analysis conducted in 2017, the Group wanted to expand its mapping in 2020 by identifying the salient Human Rights risks. To do so, L’Oréal relied on the reporting framework of the Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPR Reporting Framework). This identification process takes into consideration the severity, scale, remediability and likelihood of its impact on people. The identification process at global level and in 27 markets was finalised in 2021. This study was conducted with an NGO specialising in the area, providing independent analysis.

As a result of this analysis, the following salient risks were identified in the context of the application of the French Law on Duty of Vigilance:

- the risk of child labour among the employees of the Group’s Suppliers;
- the risk of forced labour among the employees of the Group’s Suppliers;
- the risk of job discrimination because of gender, age, disability, gender identity and sexual orientation of the employees of L’Oréal and the employees of the Group’s Suppliers; and
- the risk of a lack of decent living wage for the employees of the Group’s Suppliers.

Another risk identified concerns the Human Rights of communities potentially impacted by the Group’s activities (respect for the environment, right of access to water, consideration of Human Rights in the choice of raw materials and, in particular, the right of access of local populations to their land and respect for their traditional knowledge under the Nagoya Protocol).

**Applicable rules on Human Rights**

Subsidiaries and Suppliers must comply with the minimum core rules, which consist of the following rules that result from an analysis of the risks related to the activities of the Group and its Suppliers.

**Non-discrimination**

Acts of discrimination are serious violations of Human Rights that remain anchored in all regions of the world in which L’Oréal is present. Discrimination related to gender, sexual orientation and gender identity, disabilities, family situation, age, political and philosophical opinions, religious beliefs, union activities, or related to ethnic, social, cultural or national origins are prohibited. Discrimination related to pregnancy is also prohibited. In this respect, L’Oréal bans pregnancy testing when employees are hired within the Group, and requires its Suppliers to comply with this standard.

**Bullying and sexual harassment**

Bullying and sexual harassment are also prohibited in the Group. L’Oréal requires that its Suppliers comply with this standard.

**Child labour**

L’Oréal’s presence in certain regions of the world that are particularly at risk for child labour, including Asia and Latin America, has led the Group to identify this issue as a priority.

To take into consideration the vulnerability of young workers, L’Oréal has set the minimum hiring age of 16 years old.

The Subsidiaries and Suppliers are required to check the age of all new employees upon hire.

L’Oréal prohibits night work and work hazardous to health and safety for employees younger than 18 in its Subsidiaries. L’Oréal requires that its Suppliers comply with this standard.

\(^{(1)}\) With the exception of one production unit in the Turkey factory.
Forced or compulsory labour
L’Oréal refers to the definition of forced labour sets for in the Convention 29 of the International Labour Organisation. Forced labour is defined as “all work or service which is exacted from any person under the menace of any penalty and for which the said person has not offered himself voluntarily”.

In certain regions of the world where L’Oréal operates, certain practices that violate internationally recognised Human Rights, such as holding the identity papers of migrant workers, are common.

Any form of forced labour is prohibited. As a result:

- unless there is a legal obligation, employees’ identity papers, passports or any other personal documents may not be held from them. In the event of a legal obligation, these documents must be returned to employees at their first request;
- employees may not be asked to pay for recruitment costs or to make cash deposits to obtain employment;
- if workers from foreign regions are hired, the employer must pay the costs related to these hires;
- the use of prison labour is possible only when it is voluntary within the scope of a professional reinsertion programme, and paid at the market rate. Suppliers must request authorisation from L’Oréal before they use this type of labour;
- security personnel must only ensure the safety of people and property; and
- any salary withholding must be strictly authorised by law. It may not under any circumstances be used for the purpose of confiscation, for the direct or indirect benefit of the employer.

Employees must be free to move around their place of work, with the exceptions of areas to which access is restricted for confidentiality or safety reasons, and must have access to drinking water and bathrooms.

Working hours
Whichever the region of the world in which L’Oréal operates, the working hours in Subsidiaries and Suppliers, including overtime, may not exceed 60 hours a week for employees whose working time is monitored.

All employees must also be granted at least one day of rest for every seven-day period, or two consecutive days of rest for every fourteen-day period. They must have reasonable breaks when they work.

Freedom of association
Violations of freedom of association and the right to collective bargaining, such as discrimination against employee representatives, may occur in all regions of the world in which L’Oréal operates.

Employees’ freedom of association and right to collective bargaining must be respected:

- elections of employee representatives must take place without interference from the employer, preferably by secret ballot;
- employee representatives have access to the work premises subject to safety and/or confidentiality requirements, if any; and
- discrimination against employees conducting union activities is prohibited.

In regions where freedom of association and the right to collective bargaining are limited or discouraged, L’Oréal authorises its employees to meet independently in order to discuss their professional concerns. L’Oréal requires that its Suppliers do the same.

Additional actions for Human Rights
In addition to the actions resulting from the risk analysis on the activities of the Group and the Suppliers, L’Oréal conducts actions on Human Rights in addition to those implemented in the context of the French law on Duty of Vigilance, which are described in Chapter 4 “L’Oréal’s Social, Environmental and Societal Responsibility” of L’Oréal’s 2021 Universal Registration Document.

For example, L’Oréal set up actions with the aim to improve the working conditions of the Indian communities whose livelihood depends on the mineral mica. Since 2017, L’Oréal has been one of the 20 founding members of the Responsible Mica Initiative (RMI), joined by 74 other organisations that use mica. The RMI has three goals:

- implement standards on the responsible workplace on 100% of the supply chains of its members for mica from Bihar and Jharkhand (standards on employment, health, safety in the workplace, the environment and non-use of child labour);
- support the abilities of communities through an inclusive programme that improves the standard of living and generates additional sources of income; and
- establish a legal framework and related control systems with mica pickers, processing units, and mica operators in Bihar and Jharkhand.

L’Oréal also participates in the development of the RMI audit standard in order to facilitate member collaboration and effectively deploy the collective actions necessary in cooperation with local authorities. L’Oréal also supports the establishment of a price calculated using the living wage approach in addition to diversified income contributing to a more resilient living conditions.

To complete this approach, L’Oréal requires that its suppliers have their own due diligence process in place on their scope of supply of Indian mica. In 2021, 99% of Indian mica used in the Group’s formulas came from suppliers committed to obtaining their supply from verified sources.
Risks and Applicable Rules to prevent serious adverse impacts on Safety and Health in the workplace and the Environment

Analysis of Risks to Safety and Health in the workplace and the Environment

The analysis risks to Safety and Health in the workplace and the Environment covers generic risks related to industrial activities and specific risks related to the own activities of the Suppliers and Subsidiaries.

Generic risk analysis process

As is the case for any production (manufacturing and packing), distribution, research and general administration operations, the Group and its Suppliers are exposed to safety and environmental challenges.

Based on the risk prevention work on the Environment, Health and Safety at the workplace carried out by L’Oréal for many years, the major risks listed below have been identified. On this basis, the Subsidiaries and Suppliers must define at each of their sites, the measures designed to prevent the risks identified in this Vigilance Plan (the “Prevention System”).

Specific risk analysis process for Subsidiaries

The Subsidiaries conduct their own risk analysis based on the list of risks below, particularly the fire risk that is framed by very strict fire prevention standards (National Fire Protection Association standard).

In addition to the generic risks, the Subsidiaries identify the specific risks related in particular to cosmetics production. Thus, for example, the physical risks are the subject of SHAP (Safety Hazards Assessment Procedure) studies, which identify the dangers, generally and for each workstation, assess the risks and allow the implementation of the necessary means of control. Similarly, environmental risks are analysed to characterise the aspects and impacts of site activities on its environment and to control those that lead to potentially serious impacts for the air, soil, water, biodiversity and resources.

This environmental analysis is updated regularly by each of the Group Subsidiaries’ sites and whenever a significant change occurs. If significant risks are identified or if L’Oréal’s standards or regulations impose specific requirements, a more detailed evaluation is carried out for the activities concerned. Appropriate action plans – with immediate action where necessary – are implemented to reduce significant risks to an acceptable level.

With regard to water discharge, each industrial site has implemented a self-monitoring system that is representative of wastewater discharged (monitoring of regulated and contractual parameters: chemical oxygen demand (COD), oxygen biochemistry demand (OBD), etc.). This self-monitoring is a tool for detecting risks of exceeding regulatory thresholds that helps to anticipate any non-conformities and initiate corrective actions. Moreover, 31 L’Oréal factories have their own wastewater treatment plant and L’Oréal is continuing with the installation of these plants.

The risks of process safety are analysed using the HAZOP (HAZard and OPerability analysis) guide, which focuses on operating conditions, or FMECA (Failure Mode, Effects and Criticality Analysis), which analyses risks related to failures in the process at the different steps in production.

The results and means of controlling industrial and specific risks are summarised in the GHAP (Global Hazard Assessment Procedure) programme.

Specific risk analysis process for Suppliers

Suppliers, in addition to their own risk analysis, rely at a minimum on the risks identified in the audit grid provided by L’Oréal at the signing of the Letter of Ethical Commitment (see section 4.3.1.2.1. “Due diligence: selection and assessment of strategic suppliers” of L’Oréal’s 2021 Universal Registration Document) in order to implement suitable preventive measures in each affected site. This grid was prepared in accordance with the list of the major risks described below and is applied during referencing and follow-up audits.

If the specific nature of the Suppliers’ activity requires identification of specific risks and/or additional prevention measures, the Suppliers are required to roll out these additional measures in accordance with best practices in their industry.

For example, in the subcontracting of finished products activity, specific risks may involve:
- the use of certain raw materials;
- wastewater treatment;
- the use of machines or electrical equipment in processing or storage areas; and
- handling that may cause personal injury, in particular.

In the specific case of subcontracting suppliers of aerosol production or storage, bleaching powders, flammable products, the sites are subject to specific risks analyses concerning process safety.

Risks identified in the Environment, Health and Safety in the workplace

Risks of serious adverse impacts to Health and Safety in the workplace

(1) Risks associated with buildings and the use of equipment:
- soundness of buildings (construction and interior fittings, including the compliance of equipment with operating authorisations and building permits issued by local authorities in compliance with the applicable legislation and, in any event, adaptation to the activity for which the buildings are intended);
- use of motorised forklift trucks and Automatic Guided Vehicles (AGV); risks caused by interactions and interference between forklift trucks, AGVs and pedestrians; and
- injuries caused by interactions between humans and machines: risks related to access to the moving parts of work equipment.
Environmental risks
The risks of serious damage to the environment are those associated with the consumption of resources or that could cause damage to soils, water, air and biodiversity (habitats and species) in the context of normal operation or the occurrence of an incident at a site of the Subsidiaries or Suppliers:

• gradual or accidental pollution; and
• pollution during the transport of hazardous materials.

Applicable rules to prevent risks of serious adverse impacts on Safety and Health in the workplace and the Environment
EHS Guidelines
The Group’s Environment, Health and Safety (EHS) policy aims to minimise the impact on the environment and guarantee the health and safety of the employees of its Subsidiaries and Suppliers, its customers and the communities in which L’Oréal carries out its activities.

For the Subsidiaries, this policy is built on an EHS management system composed of standards with stringent requirements that set the principles of L’Oréal’s EHS policy, which is all included in the EHS guidelines.

Additional Environment, Health and Safety in the workplace actions
In addition to the actions resulting from the risk analysis on the activities of the Group and the Suppliers, L’Oréal conducts actions on Environment, Health and Safety in the workplace in addition to those implemented in the context of the French law on Duty of Vigilance, which are described in Chapter 4 “L’Oréal’s Social, Environmental and Societal Responsibility” of L’Oréal’s 2021 Universal Registration Document.
These objectives are accompanied by monthly reporting of detailed indicators used to monitor evolutions in results in each of these areas and to identify potential anomalies and incidents. Furthermore, the Group is engaged in a process of continuous improvement of EHS performance through its operated sites in order to sustainably anchor the EHS policy: ISO 45001 for the management of employee health and safety and improvement in their working conditions; ISO 14001 for the management of environmental impacts and improvement in environmental performance; and ISO 50001 for energy management and energy performance improvement.

Moreover, “culture” audits are conducted by internal EHS specialists in order to assess the deployment level of the EHS culture with all employees at Subsidiaries: the results of these audits provide information on the level of knowledge of EHS management tools and the maturity of audited sites with regard to the safety and environment culture at all structural levels.

Detailed information on these additional actions is available in Chapter 4 “L’Oréal’s Social, Environmental and Societal Responsibility” of L’Oréal’s 2021 Universal Registration Document.

(ii) Additional actions intended for Suppliers

The Purchasing teams select the most competitive suppliers in accordance with the responsible purchasing policy. The EHS performance of Suppliers is managed by monitoring indicators included in the corporate social responsibility criterion, which is one of the five criteria for monitoring supplier performance.

As part of the L’Oréal for the Future programme, launched in 2020 with targets for 2030, the Group has broadened its environmental commitments to its supply chain. The main environmental objectives of the programme concerning suppliers or those that may be related to their business activity are therefore as follows:

- **Climate:** by 2030, strategic suppliers will reduce their direct greenhouse gas emissions (scopes 1 and 2) by 50% in absolute terms compared to 2016.
- **Water:** by 2030, all strategic suppliers will use water responsibly in the areas where they operate.
- **Biodiversity:**
  - By 2030, 100% of the biobased ingredients for the Group’s formulas and packaging materials will be traceable and will come from sustainable sources, with none linked to deforestation.
  - By 2030, the footprint on the ecosystems vital to the sourcing of its ingredients will be maintained at 2019 levels.
- **Sustainable sourcing of raw materials:**
  - For the palm oil and its by-products segment, which are the subject of a specific “Zero deforestation” approach at year-end 2020, 100% of the supplies were covered by action plans with the suppliers concerned. L’Oréal developed the Sustainable Palm Index (SPI), a tool to evaluate and select suppliers of palm oil and palm kernel derivatives to assess the level of commitment, progress and achievements of its direct suppliers in favour of sustainable palm oil. This tool is used annually to evaluate suppliers’ progress towards the Zero Deforestation objective and their level of compliance with the Group’s requirements.

The specific feature of the SPI lies in its integration in the purchasing decision process. A supplier in compliance with the SPI will benefit from an allocation of volumes, long-term contracts, and will be favoured in the context of partnerships in land projects.

In 2019, L’Oréal co-founded the collective Action for Sustainable Derivatives initiative in order to share knowledge and methods with other operators to encourage the production and responsible supply of palm oil derivatives.

For other streams of renewable raw materials, which represent 17% of the portfolio of renewable raw materials in volumes and 74% in number, L’Oréal, on the basis of environmental, social and economic indicators from external databases (HDI of the UNDP, EPI from Yale University, Vertisk Maplecroft Country Index), has defined indicators of “sustainable sourcing challenges” to assess renewable raw material streams with regard to their sustainability.

These indicators are consolidated within the SCAN (Sustainable Characterisation) index, allowing the Group to prioritise the implementation of its sustainable sourcing action plans. The Group updates the information collected regularly: 70% of the volume of raw materials that the SCAN index has identified as representing sustainable sourcing challenges (i.e. 36% of the number of raw materials) are already the subject of plans or improvement initiatives with the relevant suppliers to ensure sustainable supply. The goal is for 100% of the Group’s renewable raw materials to come from sustainable sources.

To achieve this, L’Oréal launched a support and training programme for more than 200 suppliers on the issues of sustainable sourcing of raw materials in order to guarantee the traceability of the raw materials delivered to L’Oréal and ensure the associated streams are secure. Depending on the level of environmental and/or social risk identified on these streams, suppliers are notably asked to deploy the field audit procedure for producers (88 indicators), developed by L’Oréal with the support of the Rainforest Alliance NGO and reviewed in 2019 by EcoCert, The Biodiversity Consultancy and The Danish Institute for Human Rights. They are also invited to rely on sustainable sourcing certifications adapted to the challenges of their streams (Fair Trade, Sustainable Agricultural Network, Organic, etc.).

- **Plastics and advertising displays:**
  - By 2030, 100% of the Group’s plastic packaging will be recycled or bio-sourced (target of 50% by 2025).
  - By 2025, 100% of the Group’s plastic packaging will be refillable, reusable, recyclable or compostable.
  - By 2025, 100% of the Group’s advertising displays at points of sale will be eco-designed, taking into account the principles of the circular economy for managing their end of life.
- **Commitment of suppliers to self-assess and move forward with action plans through the CDP.**

L’Oréal actively works with its suppliers to help them improve their performance in terms of sustainable development.

By 2025, 100% of the Group’s advertising displays at points of sale will be eco-designed, taking into account the principles of the circular economy for managing their end of life. L’Oréal actively works with its suppliers to help them improve their performance in terms of sustainable development.
In 2007, L’Oréal joined the CDP Supply Chain programme for greater transparency in the actions of its suppliers and to monitor the progress made in relation to L’Oréal’s objectives. In 2014, participation in the CDP was made compulsory by L’Oréal for all strategic suppliers, in order to measure and report their emissions, set targets for reducing these emissions and communicate their action plans to achieve this objective successfully. In 2021, 77% of these strategic suppliers completed the CDP questionnaires on climate, water and/or forest, thereby providing valuable information and data on the actions of these suppliers and their impacts.

- Supplier commitment to self-assess and improve with corrective actions implemented by EcoVadis:

Effective application and compliance with the Vigilance Plan

The Vigilance Plan includes effective application measures intended to ensure the correct implementation of the Applicable Rules by the Subsidiaries and Suppliers. Monitoring of compliance with the Plan is carried out through audits and analyses performed by external service providers or by Group teams. In addition, the Subsidiaries and Suppliers are asked to carry out self-assessments.

(i) Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms governance

The Vigilance Plan includes effective application measures intended to ensure the correct implementation of the Applicable Rules by the Subsidiaries and Suppliers. Monitoring of compliance with the Plan is carried out through audits and analyses performed by external service providers or by Group teams. In addition, the Subsidiaries and Suppliers are asked to carry out self-assessments.

Effective application of the Vigilance Plan

Adoption of Applicable Rules

(i) Adoption of Applicable Rules by Subsidiaries

The effective implementation of Applicable Rules by Subsidiaries is achieved through their incorporation in the Group’s Internal Rules. For this purpose, compliance with the effective application of the Plan is based on control activities in accordance with the applicable legislation.

The communication of Applicable Rules to Group employees is described below.

(ii) Adoption of Applicable Rules by Suppliers

Suppliers undertake to comply with the Applicable Rules. In particular, the principal Suppliers sign the Letter of Ethical Commitment that covers these applicable Rules. Moreover, the Suppliers that could present the most significant risks because of their activity or geographic location may be audited on these issues in accordance with the Risk Matrix. The contents of the points that will be audited is set forth in the letter.

Governance

L’Oréal’s commitment to Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, the health and safety of people in the workplace and the environment is supported at the highest level of the Company by its Chief Executive Officer who renews L’Oréal’s commitment to the United Nations Global Compact each year. These commitments are also set out in the Group’s Code of Ethics and the Human Rights and Employee Human Rights Policy.

By participating in the EcoVadis assessment, L’Oréal compares its environmental and social policy with the expertise and the recommendations of external auditors qualified in the analysis of multi-sector risks. This is a useful rating for the continuous improvement of suppliers and it also contributes to the transparency of industry practices. This assessment is used to select suppliers by taking their environmental and social performance into consideration.
(ii) Environment, Health and Safety in the workplace governance

The Chief Operations Officer, reporting to the Chief Executive Officer and a member of the Executive Committee, is responsible for the general policy to prevent serious adverse impacts on the environment, and health and safety. The implementation of this policy is the responsibility of the Group’s Subsidiaries and Group Sites.

The Chief Operations Officer is supported by and delegates the deployment and monitoring of the policy to:

- factory and distribution centre Directors who, as a result of their positions, are responsible for the deployment and effective implementation of the policies defined by the Group. Their remuneration is partly linked to their performance in the areas of the environment, health and safety in the workplace;
- EHS managers are managers trained and dedicated to compliance with the EHS policy who ensure the deployment of the rules, procedures and associated performance objectives of the Group in all of its entities; and
- country Operations Directors who are responsible for, among other things, compliance with the EHS policy by the distribution centres, the administrative Sites and stores in their country.

In addition, the Purchasing teams are responsible for collecting confirmation of acceptance of Applicable Rules from the Suppliers via the Letter of Ethical Commitment. The Purchasing teams are responsible for ensuring that Suppliers included in the Risk Matrix implement the prevention measures for EHS risks via control audits carried out by third-party companies. As for Human Rights, the Purchasing teams discuss, during Management Committee meetings that include representatives from all Zones and purchasing areas, the results of audits, their consequences for commercial relations, and the related actions plans to achieve continuous improvement of results at the Group’s Suppliers.

Communication and training

Communication of the Applicable Rules and training of the teams involved complete and support the effective application of the measures set out in the Vigilance Plan by L’Oréal’s Subsidiaries and Suppliers.

(i) Communication on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms

Human Resources teams are informed of the Applicable Rules by their line manager.

In addition, all new Group employees must receive a hard or electronic copy of the Group’s Code of Ethics and must confirm that they have read it. Employees must be reminded of the Code of Ethics and its contents on a regular basis.

In addition, any employee in contact with Suppliers must receive the “The Way We Buy” guide when they are hired. It explains ethical standards which apply to Supplier relations.

An Ethics Intranet site is available to employees.

An annual Ethics Day, including Human Rights, has been held since 2009. This day enables employees to discuss matters such as respect for Human Rights and fundamental freedoms via a webchat with L’Oréal’s Chief Executive Officer and the other members of the Executive Committee. Discussions on ethics are also organised in the Subsidiaries to let employees exchange conversations with their Subsidiary’s General Manager.

In 2021, more than 60% of employees logged on to the Ethics Day sessions and more than 8,000 questions were asked worldwide.

With respect to Suppliers, in addition to the communication of Applicable Rules via the letters of ethical commitment, a website has been provided to strategic Suppliers (Class A Suppliers as defined in paragraph “Risk hierarchy of non-compliance with the Applicable Rules” in section 3.4.5.2. of L’Oréal’s 2021 Universal Registration Document) of raw materials, packaging and subcontractors of finished products. The site contains the following documents:

- Code of Ethics; and
- L’Oréal’s policy on Suppliers/subcontractors and child labour.

(ii) Training on Human Rights and fundamental freedoms

A specific, compulsory e-learning course on ethics covers Human Rights and fundamental freedoms issues.

In addition, new buyers receive compulsory training on Responsible Purchasing to learn about how to ensure respect of the Group’s Ethical Principles.

An e-learning course on Supplier audits, detailing the applicable audit procedure, is also available for all buyers. A Suppliers version is available on the same website as the above information. There is also an e-learning course available called “Ethics e-learning for business partners”.

(iii) Communication on the Environment, Health and Safety (EHS) in the workplace

EHS managers are informed of the Applicable Rules by their functional hierarchy.

Group Sites are encouraged to hold a day dedicated to EHS to raise the awareness among all employees about the risks to which they are exposed and suitable prevention, in addition to specific local actions.

In addition, a Group awareness-raising campaign about major safety risks, deployed over three years, and the implementation of a specific topic every four months, serve to develop employee awareness of risks over time.

A monthly newsletter for the Group’s EHS managers and their team leaders enables performance tracking and the sharing of best EHS practices.

(iv) Training on the Environment, Health and Safety (EHS) in the workplace

Training sessions dedicated to L’Oréal’s EHS policy and practices have been provided at all levels of the Company, as well as for temporary workers and subcontractors working on Group sites. They constitute one of the cornerstones in the implementation of risk identification and management systems, and the deployment of the EHS culture in all Group entities.
EHS training includes the various training actions for health and safety in the workplace, or the environment and covers general EHS training, EHS training by business line and specific EHS training.

General EHS training includes:
- core general training completed, for example, when a new employee arrives at a site (L’Oréal employee or temporary staff) regardless of their position; and
- fire safety training, first aid, pollution prevention, recycling, general EHS awareness raising, etc.

EHS business training refers to training specific to a given métier area or activity (for example, all of the filling and packing staff of a factory).

Specific EHS training refers to EHS training for a particular workstation and the activities carried out by the employee.

In terms of ergonomics, a “Manual handling” e-learning course is provided to all employees.

Lastly, a high level of expertise for global EHS Teams and the development of an EHS culture for “Top managers”, managers and supervisors is assured via the deployment of training specific to each person.

To support the Environmental aspect of the L’Oréal for the Future programme, a training programme on the challenges related to climate, water, biodiversity and resources (Green steps for all) has been launched with the intention of training 100% of the Group’s employees.

**Monitoring compliance with the Plan**

**Risk hierarchy of non-compliance with the Applicable Rules**

The risk hierarchy is used to enhance controls on compliance with the Applicable Rules by defining procedures for monitoring compliance with the Rules that are adapted to the risks, including a policy of third-party audits. Other means of control are also used, such as monitoring and evaluation of Suppliers conducted by third-party companies such as EcoVadis.

With respect to the Subsidiaries, the risk hierarchy of non-compliance with the Applicable Rules was created taking into account the type of activity (administrative, processing, warehousing, etc.) and, thereafter, the type of Site (administrative offices, factories, distribution centres, research centres, etc.).

With respect to the Suppliers, the risk mapping of non-compliance with the Applicable Rules was created for Human Rights, Fundamental Freedoms, the environment, and health and safety in the workplace using a methodology that takes the following parameters into account:

- The country in which the Supplier’s sites are located: a country is considered vulnerable in terms of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and environment, health and safety, if it meets at least one of the following criteria:
  1. The country is classified as “High risk” or “Extreme risk” according to the criteria of the consultancy firm Verisk Maplecroft: 12 evaluation indices are used in this methodology and aggregated in a single grade compared with the threshold values set by Verisk Maplecroft;
  2. The results of social audits conducted by L’Oréal in the country include cases of Zero Tolerance or Need Immediate Action (“NIA” rating that corresponds to the most severe non-conformities); and
  3. The internal experts or partners of L’Oréal (e.g. EcoVadis) recommend considering it as a country to be audited.

- The business sector: each Supplier is associated with a sector area according to a governance ranking of Purchases (“Global Purchasing Categories”).

- The nature of operations: the activities most heavily exposed to labour risk are the activities with high manual added value, implying work on a production line.
### SUBSIDIARY AUDIT MATRIX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of country</th>
<th>Sites audited</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All countries</td>
<td>Factories, dispatch centres, R&amp;D sites and administrative sites</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MATRIX THAT TRIGGERS SUPPLIER AUDITS ACCORDING TO RISK MAPPING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business sector</th>
<th>Description of business sector</th>
<th>Type of country</th>
<th>Suppliers audited</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw materials</td>
<td>Suppliers of ingredients used to produce cosmetics in L'Oréal factories</td>
<td>Countries classified as “high risk”</td>
<td>100% of Suppliers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packaging</td>
<td>Suppliers of packaging used for production in L'Oréal factories</td>
<td>Countries classified as “high risk”</td>
<td>100% of Suppliers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcontractors</td>
<td>Suppliers producing cosmetics for L'Oréal (Full-buy, Full-service, etc.)</td>
<td>Countries not classified as “high risk” When the “satisfactory” or “NCI” (Needs Continuous Improvement) rating is achieved, additional audits are no longer necessary</td>
<td>Countries classified as “high risk” 100% of subcontractors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermo-cosmetic devices</td>
<td>Suppliers of equipment &amp; electronics</td>
<td>Countries classified as “high risk”</td>
<td>100% of Supplier Production Sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing equipment</td>
<td>Suppliers of manufacturing equipment (processing tanks, filling machines, etc.)</td>
<td>Countries classified as “high risk”</td>
<td>100% of Supplier Production Sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promotional items</td>
<td>Suppliers of promotional items (bags, etc.)</td>
<td>Countries classified as “high risk”</td>
<td>100% of Supplier Production Sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Items intended for points of sale</td>
<td>Suppliers of items intended for the presentation of products at points-of-sale</td>
<td>Countries classified as “high risk”</td>
<td>100% of Supplier Production Sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services intended for points-of-sale</td>
<td>Architects, general contractors, maintenance</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-packing</td>
<td>Co-packing Suppliers (sometimes called subcontractors or Co-Packers)</td>
<td>Countries not classified as “high risk” When the “satisfactory” or “NCI” (Needs Continuous Improvement) rating is achieved, additional audits are no longer necessary</td>
<td>Countries classified as “high risk” 100% of Supplier Production Sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logistics service providers (excluding transport)</td>
<td>External distribution centres</td>
<td>Countries not classified as “high risk” When the “satisfactory” or “NCI” (Needs Continuous Improvement) rating is achieved, additional audits are no longer necessary</td>
<td>Countries classified as “high risk” 100% of Suppliers’ logistics sites</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Audit and self-assessment system

(i) Audits

Audits of Applicable Rules

Audits of Applicable Rules are used to check that the Vigilance Plan is correctly implemented by the Subsidiaries and Suppliers included in the Risk Matrix. Audits are done by specialist external companies.

When a Subsidiary or Supplier is audited, the process is carried out in accordance with the Risk Matrix described in paragraph “Risk hierarchy of non-compliance with the Applicable Rules” in section 3.4.5.2. of L’Oréal’s 2021 Universal Registration Document. A written audit report is prepared.

With respect to the Subsidiaries, the reports are stored in a secure database available to Group Human Resources Directors and to the Country Operations Directors, in some cases. The reports on Suppliers are intended for Group buyers.

EHS audits specific to Subsidiaries

In order to ensure compliance with the Group’s EHS policy, a system of worldwide audits has been set up since 1996, and was reinforced in 2001 with the presence of external auditors, who are experts in the local context and regulations. These audits take place regularly on each L’Oréal site: every three years for production sites and every four years for distribution centres, administrative sites and research centres. If the result of the audit does not meet the standard required by the L’Oréal benchmarks, a specific interim audit is scheduled for the following year. Every year, the teams responsible for EHS risks review the audit results and identify general improvement plans. The improvement plans specific to the audited Sites are established immediately after the end of the audit. In addition, any emergency measure intended to prevent an imminent risk for the health of persons at the Site is implemented by the Site EHS teams without waiting for the completion of an audit even if it is not part of the improvement plan that may exist.

There are various audit grids called “risk”, “culture”, or “combined risk and culture”, used depending on the maturity and type of activity at the Sites. They assess in particular:

- compliance of practices and facilities with the Group’s rules and procedures;
- progress in terms of EHS performance;
- any risks that the sites may present from an EHS standpoint; and
- the level of management and deployment of EHS culture on the Sites.

Each risk finding is classified in one of three categories: A, B and C according to a matrix of level of impact/probability of occurrence. “A” findings are monitored monthly and consolidated annually by risk type. The monthly reporting of safety and environmental data also enables consolidation and analysis of any anomalies and incidents leading to regulatory non-conformity, complaints or fines.

Audits specific to Suppliers

There are three types of audits:

- initial audits (first audits conducted) are a prerequisite to the start of the relationship with a new Supplier;
- follow-up audits (audits done 12 to 24 months maximum after the immediate improvement request (Needs Immediate Action or NIA), depending on the severity of the non-conformities found); and
- confirmation audits, three years after the initial audit.

The possible outcomes of the audits are as follows:

- Satisfactory: all criteria conform to the Applicable Rules and the best practices are highlighted;
- Needs Continuous Improvement (NCI): minor non-conformities were found, but they do not have an impact on employee safety or health;
- Needs Immediate Action (NIA): non-conformities are reported either because they are serious, because they are recurring or because they have a potential impact on the health and safety of employees;
- Zero Tolerance (ZT): reported, for example, in the event of a critical non-conformity because of child labour, forced labour, physical abuse, restricted freedom of movement, an immediate risk of accident for employees or attempted bribery of the auditors; and
- Access Denied: reported when the audit is refused (for example in the event of refusal to provide partial or full site access to the auditors).

In the event of a non-conformity (Needs Continuous Improvement, Needs Immediate Action, Zero Tolerance), corrective action plans must be implemented which are then audited at the level of the Subsidiary or Supplier. Failure to implement a corrective action plan can, in the case of a Subsidiary, result in an alert being sent to the Country Manager. In addition, Subsidiaries can decide to link part or all of the remuneration of their managers and/or of their performance evaluation to the implementation of the Applicable Rules.

In the case of Suppliers, serious non-conformities (Needs Immediate Action, Zero Tolerance and Access Denied) or the failure to implement corrective action can result in the non-listing of a new Supplier or the suspension or termination of commercial relations with a listed Supplier.

In the event that the existence of a serious non-conformity with the Applicable Rules is reported, a specific audit can be initiated. In particular, visit reports are issued as part of the process of routine visits made to Suppliers. They can result, if necessary, in additional audits.

Specific EHS audits of subcontractors’ sites

Additional specific EHS audits are conducted by independent third parties for subcontractor sites for aerosol production or storage, bleaching powders, flammable products under the criteria defined by L’Oréal, which are similar to those used for the Group’s sites. These audits are triggered at the time of referencing/qualification, follow-up (audits conducted between 12 months and 36 months maximum after the immediate improvement request (NIA), depending on the severity of the non-conformities found); and again at the time of confirmation, 5 years after the initial audit.

The results of these audits are the same type as those previously described: satisfactory, NCI, NIA and ZT.

Serious non-conformities (Needs Immediate Action, Zero Tolerance and Access Denied) or the failure to implement corrective actions can result in the non-listing of a new Supplier or the suspension or termination of commercial relations with a listed Supplier.

All the main non-conformities found are monitored and consolidated annually by risk type.

In the event that the existence of a serious non-conformity with the Applicable Rules is reported, a specific audit can be initiated. In particular, visit reports are issued as part of the process of routine visits made to Suppliers. They can result, if necessary, in additional audits.
Additional procedures

L’Oréal also uses analyses and ratings provided by EcoVadis, an analytical company, to evaluate the policies implemented by the Suppliers, among others, in terms of the issues covered by the Vigilance Plan. This evaluation is an indicative guide that can be added to the audits described above.

By participating in the EcoVadis assessment, L’Oréal compares its environmental and social policy with the experts and the recommendations of external auditors qualified in the analysis of multi-sector risks. This is a useful rating for the continuous improvement of L’Oréal’s programme, but it also contributes to the transparency of industry practices. This assessment is used to select suppliers by taking their environmental and social performance into consideration.

L’Oréal is also a co-founder of the Responsible Beauty Initiative (RBI), a sector initiative created in 2017 with EcoVadis that brings together seven major players in the cosmetics industry for the sustainable transformation of the entire beauty supply chain.

(ii) Self-assessment system

Human Rights and fundamental freedoms

An annual ethics reporting system enables monitoring of the implementation of the Applicable Rules in the Subsidiaries, namely with regard to Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms.

Environment, health and safety in the workplace

The Management Committees of L’Oréal Sites have self-assessment tools for their practices based on the audit standards provided to them, which enables them to implement an improvement plan, if required. These self-assessments are input data in the EHS risk audits.

To direct its performance:

• Every year, each Subsidiary site defines and revises its safety and environmental roadmaps to achieve the targets set by the Group. It tracks EHS indicators monthly.

• At Group level, the indicators are consolidated monthly by region and globally for the Group’s results.

• A Greenhouse Gas Assessment is prepared annually (pursuant to the GHG Protocol).

Whistleblowing mechanism and reporting system

L’Oréal’s “Speak Up” policy enables employees and external stakeholders to report serious violations of Human Rights and fundamental freedoms, non-compliance of rules relating to the health and safety of people and respect for the environment, notably via a secure website (ethics whistleblowing channel) directly to the Chief Ethics, Risk and Compliance Officer.

Update on the effective implementation of the Vigilance Plan

This section provides the 2021 results of the application of the Vigilance Plan for Subsidiaries and Suppliers.

General results of whistleblowing mechanisms and reporting

The Group’s ethics whistle-blowing line (www.lorealspeakup.com) was opened in 2008, and then to all stakeholders outside the Group, including suppliers and subcontractors, in 2018. A new procedure to collect and handle reports was published. In addition, employees can use several other channels to raise their concerns (hierarchical line, dedicated local lines, etc.).

In 2021, there were 379 reports on potential non-compliance with the Applicable Rules, including:

• 16 on sexual harassment, 11 of which were proven, in whole or in part, and which resulted in 7 departures from the Group;

• 71 on bullying, 17 of which were proven, in whole or in part, and which resulted in 5 departures from the Group;

• 22 on discrimination, 2 of which were proven, in whole or in part, which did not result in a departure from the Group;

• 24 on health and safety, 4 of which were proven, in whole or in part, which resulted in 2 departures from the Group; and

• none on environmental issues.

Results of the application of the plan to Subsidiaries

Adoption of the Applicable Rules by the Subsidiaries

The Applicable Rules are incorporated into the Group’s Subsidiaries’ internal rules.

94% of employees individually acknowledged the receipt of the Code of Ethics and 76% of employees have been trained using an e-learning training tool on ethics.

Each EHS manager and each person working in a factory or distribution centre is trained in the Applicable Rules on Health, Safety and the Environment (EHS). All new employees are trained in the Rules on Health and Safety in the workplace and the Environment, regardless of their work location.
Monitoring and control system in respect of Human Rights

Five audits were conducted within Group owned factories and distribution centres, covering all the Rules on Human Rights.

(i) Child labour: none of the audits revealed any non-conformity of employment of a child under the age of 16. One audit revealed an intern working nights, a point that had been corrected at the time of the follow-up audit.

As part of the annual ethics reporting in 2021, it was reported that:

- 16 employees were 16-18 years of age and
- 0 employee under 16 years of age worked in the Subsidiaries

(ii) Forced labour: One audit revealed a case of employees of a subcontractor who should have been on permanent contracts instead of fixed-term contracts. Another audit revealed that outsourced workers were on fixed-term employment contracts that had expired, which had been corrected at the time of the follow-up audit.

In the annual ethics reporting, no subsidiary declared the use of labour in a prison environment.

(iii) Freedom of association: the audits did not reveal any cases of non-conformity. One audit revealed a case of a collective bargaining document that had not been sent to the competent public authorities due to the health crisis linked to Covid-19.

(iv) Non-discrimination: the audits did not reveal any cases of non-conformity.

(v) Working hours: one audit revealed insufficient rest time at one site and two audits revealed working hours in excess of 60 hours per week.

(vi) Salaries and costs: one audit revealed an error in the calculation of mandatory social security contributions and a delay of more than one month in salaries being paid. Another audit revealed a case in which outsourced workers had not been paid enough for overtime they had worked, which had been corrected at the time of the follow-up audit.

(vii) Health and safety: one audit revealed irregular evacuation and fire safety exercises as well as missing health and safety registration documents.

Monitoring and control system in respect of Health, Safety and the Environment

In 2021, 83 “top managers” (managers of factories or distribution centres, Management Committee members, etc.) attended the Leadership & Safety Culture seminar, held at the CEDEP, The European Centre for Executive Development, at the INSEAD campus in France. Since the start of this programme, 669 senior managers have been trained. The main objectives of these seminars are to raise awareness with top managers about safety issues, increase their leadership ability and see these behaviours adopted and maintained over the long term.

34 factories are certified ISO 45001, representing 87% of the Group’s factories.
34 plants are certified ISO 14001, representing 87% of the Group’s plants.

In 2021, in the context of the Covid-19 health crisis:

- 2 risk audits were performed on site;
- 2 combined risk and EHS culture audits were performed on site; and
- 2 combined Quality, Environment, Health, Safety and Performance audits were performed on site.

The most frequently identified risks during EHS risk audits are related to fire protection, procedure safety, hazardous energies, containment of fire water runoff and wastewater management. The cases of non-conformity and formal notice were systematically subject to corrective actions.

There were two neighbourhood complaints in 2021: one factory was the subject of a complaint about the release of an unpleasant odour related to wastewater being discharged from the site, and an administrative site was the subject of a complaint about the municipal storm water system overflowing during periods of heavy rain. This system passes through the site and, after a study conducted by the Syndicat Intercommunal d’Aménagement Hydraulique (the French inter-district planning authority), the under-sizing of the municipal system was identified as the most likely cause.

There were 4 cases of non-conformity with environmental regulations notified by an administrative authority in 2021. They cover three sites and relate to the quality of wastewater (one site) and to the need to adapt some of the technical facilities (two sites).

One fine was imposed in 2021 (China) amounting to RMB 190,000 (approximately €27,000): this is linked to non-compliance regarding the quality of effluent discharged from the site.

Results of the application of the plan to suppliers

Adoption of the Applicable Rules by Suppliers

100% of strategic Suppliers in the direct purchases category and 78% of strategic Suppliers in the indirect purchases category have made a contractual commitment to comply with the Applicable Rules.

All purchasers know the Applicable Rules and know which people to contact in the event of doubts.

In 2021, 145 newly recruited purchasers in the Group received in-depth training in responsible purchases.

Monitoring and control system

The Applicable Rules are controlled through external audits. These audits cover questions on Human Rights as well as Environment, Health and Safety and cover all activities of the audited Site without being limited to the parts of the Site that operate for L’Oréal.

1,236 on-site audits were conducted in 2021, as part of regular audits, but also ad hoc audits following a risk analysis (40 ad hoc audits in 2021)(2). Thus, 98% of Supplier production sites requiring audits were audited at least once.

(1) The scope of consolidation of human rights data arising from ethics reporting is identical to that of social data.
(2) Audits for which the Supplier is not part of the scope, but in respect of which the purchaser decided to conduct a social audit as a precaution. They represent 3% of the total number of audits.
The cases of non-conformity noted during these social audits that came under the Vigilance Plan are described below.

In 2021, 767 suppliers conducted an Ecovadis assessment of their social, environmental and ethical policies, as well as the implementation of those policies by their own suppliers in 2021. 331 of them represented 89% of the Group’s strategic suppliers, all areas combined.

In terms of Human Rights

Results of audits by topic

(i) Child labour: 1.8% non-compliance.

2 audits identified employment of a child under the age of 16. The necessary measures have been taken to discontinue any commercial relationship with the companies concerned. Demands have been made for the children to be sent to school. Other cases of non-conformity were found in the area of “Child Labour”, particularly concerning the absence of procedures to verify age at the time of hiring by the Supplier, and the absence of copies of identity documents. The Suppliers concerned have been asked to correct this situation, and follow-up audits are planned.

(ii) Forced labour: 6.7% of non-compliances.

One audit found employees who are bonded or under contract either with the company itself or with a broker. The necessary measures have been taken to discontinue any commercial relationship with the companies concerned. Other cases of non-conformity concern the demand for a monetary deposit at the time of hiring, withholding of identity documents without a legal requirement, as well as the freedom for employees to end their contracts without being penalised (financially or other) except for those stipulated by social legislation. The main corrective measures requested were the return of the identity documents and the updating of employee contracts. Follow-up audits will check the effective implementation of these corrective measures.

(iii) Freedom of association\(^2\): 2.1% non-compliance.

Most of the cases of non-conformity concern the failure to freely elect employee representatives without management interference. Elections must be carried out, or in countries where such elections are not legal, employee meetings must be organised to report items to be improved.

(iv) Non-discrimination: 1.1% of non-compliances.

These cases of non-conformity mainly concern the absence of a clear and uniform policy to ensure the absence of discrimination at recruitment or discrimination in the payment of wages and other costs. Suppliers were requested to implement such policies, which will be checked in future audits.

(v) Working hours: 24% of non-compliances.

Cases of non-conformity involved failure to comply with the Applicable Rules for work time and regular days off, but also the lack of sufficient documents to ensure correct monitoring of the Applicable Rules. In all of these cases, action plans are implemented and a follow-up audit is planned.

(vi) Wages and charges: 19% of non-compliances.

The audits did not find undue salary withholding or charges. Most of the cases of non-conformity concerned insurance and social contributions as well as a failure to monitor payments of wages. When an audit notes a case of non-compliance with regard to the correct settlement of wages, social benefits or the correct payment of overtime, even though these are not undue salary deductions, the Suppliers are requested to correct the situation and a follow-up audit is planned.

(vii) Sexual harassment and bullying: 2.4% non-compliance.

Most of these cases of non-conformity concerned the absence of a written policy prohibiting sexual harassment and bullying or the absence of an internal system allowing the situation to be reported without negative consequences for the employee concerned. Suppliers were requested to draft these policies, which will be checked in future audits.

The other cases of non-conformity did not concern serious breaches of the Applicable Rules.

Health, Safety and the Environment

41% of the cases of non-compliance concerned the applicable rules on Health, Safety and the Environment. Most of these cases concerned (i) the absence of fire safety certification, (ii) the lack of training in emergency evacuation, extinguisher handling or the use of protection equipment, or (iii) access to running water flows in all spaces exposed to the use of solvents, corrosive products or other chemical risks.

The missing certifications were obtained from approved third parties, and the training in emergency evacuation and extinguisher handling were implemented as the main corrective measures. Likewise, renovations to adjust the water points were carried out by the Suppliers.

Sustainable sourcing of raw materials

In 2021, 100% of the renewable raw materials used by the Group were reassessed on the basis of criteria such as respect for biodiversity and forced labour. Out of the 313 plant species that are the source of the renewable raw materials used by the Group, around 6%\(^\text{2}\) present significant biodiversity challenges (endangered species, impact of production on natural environments) depending on their geographic origin and the method of extraction or production used. They are the subject of specific action plans initiated with suppliers and, if necessary, benefit from the systematic support of independent external third parties, in order to manage the real impacts on the territories of origin of the ingredients.

\(^2\) The Statutory Auditors have expressed reasonable assurance about this indicator.

(1) Figures exclude audits where verification could not be performed because of the specific nature of local regulations.

(2) Calculated on the basis of projected purchases between January and November 2021.